An Exchange for the Canadian and US Payout Annuity Markets

IFID – 4th Annual Conference May 31, 2005

Lowell Aronoff CEO CANNEX Financial Exchanges



Retirement Income Planning

• Analyze income needs into:

- Essential expenses: food, shelter, ...
- Discretionary expenses: travel, estate, ...

• Why?

- Avoid running out of money
 - By buying appropriate product
- Avoid under spending
 - By knowing you will not run out of money
- Get a better return
 - Guarantee essential expenses are covered
 - More aggressive in discretionary expenses





After years of pushing retirement income, most Canadian payout annuities are sold for ...

CANNEX Usage Statistics – for Canada		
Type of Annuity	Percent	Largest Use
Non-Registered, Prescribed	59%	Insured Annuity, Personal Funds
Non-Registered, Non-Prescribed	13%	Insured Annuity, Corporate Funds
Registered	28%	Retirement

Who is CANNEX? How does the system work?

- Provides an Exchange for the SPIA market
 - Carriers provide code
 - CANNEX analyzes code into components
 - Reproduces algorithm with pre-written subroutines
 - Tests and proves accuracy to carrier
- One system (shared architecture) provides:
 - Canadian SPIA exchange
 - US SPIA exchange
 - ASP solutions for individual carrier illustrations
 - Differences are market driven not technology driven





- Explanation of the suggested product
- Yield: Graph of IRR vs. age at death
- ILY: Combines yield with individual mortality credits
- A custom Monte Carlo simulation study indicating that the SPIA contemplated would reduce the probability of ruin by x%









To establish a new technology ...

- Need significant improvement over <u>current methods – result</u>: leap frog effect
 - In Canada distributed SPIA software never really got established
 - Web-based SPIA software is more established in Canada
 - In the US, a central exchange took longer

• Prisoner of history:

- All CANNEX SPIA quotes are guaranteed in the US
- All US carriers provide custom illustrations

<u>1981 – The state of the art in Canada ...</u>



<u> 1982 – Tax law amended …</u>

- No more Income Averaging Annuities
- Insurance Carrier concern commoditization
 - CompQuote dominated annuity surveys
 - Based on rate-table look up
 - No joint life annuities
 - CANNEX had better technology at its 1983 launch
 - Reproduced cooperating carrier's formula (first principles)
 - Fit published rates to a common annuity curve
 - Both companies fully supported by brokers
- High Interest Rates
 - 18% for 15 years and 9% thereafter

Why did we succeed in Canada ...



- Thermal printer was easier to carry
- Sale was generally at client's home
- Insurance Carrier phone-in service unresponsive
 - Three-day turn around
 - Quotes good for 24 hours
 - Volatile interest / annuity rates
 - Competitive market required agents to shop
- Patience
 - Generally a 5 10 year sales cycle
 - Insurance carriers embarrassed by CANNEX inaccuracy
- Self-improving architecture
 - As insurance carriers cooperated, accuracy improved
 - Barriers to entry

Why are we succeeding in the US ...

- Distributors require Carrier participation
 - Information is no longer hidden
 - Speed is essential
 - Guarantees are required
- CANNEX is an independent third party
 - Does not compete with clients
 - Seen as part of the solution
- Patience
 - Generally a 5 10 year sales cycle
 - Same, persistent message
- Self-improving network
 - As insurance carriers participate, distributors are more interested
 - As distributors participate an exchange develops

Impact of an Exchange

- Centralize information gathering
 - Reduces expenses to carriers
 - Proprietary illustrations become less important
 - Reduced need to advertise competitiveness
 - Increases transparency
 - More competition between carriers
 - Third party comparisons given to consumers
 - Shifts costs to distributors
 - Distributors pay for some of illustration costs
 - Reduces distributors' costs
 - Reduces need for PC-based illustrations
 - · Central control of rates
 - Reduces investment mismatch risk
 - Canadian carriers change rates at 3x frequency
 - 56 times/year versus 18 times/year
- Being studied by IFID

Market Direction – in the US & Canada: Driven by US needs

- Retirement Income Planning
 - Ibbotson
 - Ernst and Young
 - Financial Planning software vendors
- Education:
 - Courses: InFRE, NAVA
 - Conferences: LIMRA, NAVA, IIR
- CANNEX Analytical tools:
 - -ILY
 - IRR vs. Age at Death
 - Impact of SPIA on financial plan

#3 - Government Planning

- Canada:
 - Balanced Budgets
 - CPP is fully funded

• US:

- Spending is out of control
- Social Security is under funded
- Impact of under funded Gov't Pensions:
 - General strikes in Italy, France
 - Excessive costs in Germany, Japan
 - US need for individual responsibility
 - Introducing strong tax incentives for SPIAs

Estate Tax Legislation

- US Plans to eliminate in 2010
- Canada No plans
- Result Insured Annuities
 - Moot issue in US
 - Concern in Canada

Summary Summary US and Canadian SPIA markets evolved differently Underlying technology to service each market will be the same The US has a higher perceived need for SPIAs Canadians can not be complacent Need to promote individual responsibility US market will be more dynamic Canadians should study the US retirement market directions and trends